1, 2, and 3+ sows failed to meet DBC 46, 44, and 49%, respectively. For WSI, parity 1 and 2+ sows failed to meet DBC 73 and 26%, respectively. A 1 d increase in LL improved ($P < 0.01$) subsequent TNB for parity 1 and 2+ sows by 0.045 and 0.073 piglets, respectively, and reduced WSI for parity 1 and 2+ sows by −0.060 and −0.052 d, respectively. A one piglet increase in NBA improved ($P < 0.01$) subsequent TNB for parity 1 and 2+ sows by 0.132 and 0.166 piglets, respectively. Yet increased NW reduced ($P < 0.01$) subsequent TNB for parity 1 and 2+ sows by 0.075 and 0.048 piglets, respectively. Sows mated d 7 and 8 after weaning had lower ($P < 0.01$) subsequent TNB when compared to all other sows (11.52 and 11.59 vs. 12.27). Using the NSRG, poor WSI and subsequent TNB of parity 1 sows suggest inadequate nutrition in lactation. To increase litter size, the production system should evaluate lactation nutrition, consider extending LL, allow sows displaying estrus 7 and 8 d post-weaning to be bred on the next cycle, and not cross-foster excess piglets onto parity one females.

Key Words: reproduction, swine, troubleshooting
doi: 10.2527/asasmw.2017.069

---

070 Evaluation of the impact of errors in the sorting of pigs for market on sort loss at a range of marketing ages. Y. Que*, F. A. Cabezon, A. P. Schinckel, *Department of Animal Sciences, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN.*

The BW growth curves for 25, 4000-head finishing barns were simulated to 1) evaluate the impact of sorting errors on sort loss at different mean carcass weights (CW) and 2) demonstrate that the magnitude of sort loss due to inaccurate sorting is affected by the pigs' mean CW. Two types of errors were evaluated, BW estimation error (BWEE) and percentage of pigs not visually evaluated (PNVE). Pigs are not evaluated when the targeted number of pigs are identified and sorting stops with heavier pigs than those sorted not being evaluated. Four levels of BWEE with SD's of 0, 4, 6, and 8% of BW and 4 levels of PNVE (0, 8, 16, and 24%) were simulated. Sort loss was calculated using a market value system for a U.S. pork processor (IPC, Delphi, IN). Pigs were initially marketed in 3 marketing cuts, 25% at 169, 25% at 179, and the remaining 50% at 193 d of age. Marketing ages for the pigs were shifted in weekly intervals with mean ages of 155.5, 162.5, 169.5, 176.5, 183.5, 190.5, 197.5, 204.5, and 211.5 d of age. Two variables, number of pigs with sort loss and mean sort loss per pig in the barn, were fitted to a model including the fixed effects of level of marketing age (AGE), BWEE, PNVE, their interactions and random effect of replicate barn using the MIXED procedure of SAS®. The main effects of AGE, BWEE, and PNVE and AGE × PNVE, AGE × BWEE, and AGE × BWEE × PNVE interactions impacted both variables ($P < 0.001$). The effects of BWEE and interaction of BWEE × PNVE impacted ($P < 0.001$) both variables at all ages. The difference in sort loss/pig produced by the least accurate sorting (BWEE = 8% and PNVE = 24%) increased as the mean CW increased from $1.00 at 93 kg to $4.53 at 103 kg. Sort loss/pig increased more rapidly with increased CW at higher levels of BWEE and PNVE. The effect of inaccurate sorting to increase sort loss is minimized when the mean CW is close to the middle of the pork processor's acceptable CW range and increases as CW increases to those approaching the upper acceptable CW range and is dependent on the marketing grid.

Key Words: marketing, sort loss, pork
doi: 10.2527/asasmw.2017.070

---

071 Evaluation of the impact of the magnitude of errors in the sorting of pigs for market on the optimal market weight. J. Cheng*, F. A. Cabezon, Y. Que, A. P. Schinckel, *Department of Animal Sciences, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN.*

The objective was to estimate the impact that the accuracy in which pigs are sorted for marketing has on the optimal market carcass weight (CW) and economic returns. Two types of errors were evaluated in a stochastic model, BW estimation error (BWEE) and percentage of pigs not visually evaluated (PNVE). Pigs are not evaluated when the targeted number of pigs are identified and sorting stops with heavier pigs than those sorted not being evaluated. Four levels of BWEE with SD's of 0, 4, 6, and 8% of BW and 4 levels of PNVE (0, 8, 16, and 24%) were simulated. Initially, pigs were marketed in 3 marketing cuts (MCUT), 25% at 169, 25% at 179, and the remaining 50% at 193 d of age. The timing of marketing was shifted in 7 d intervals with mean marketing ages of 155.5 to 211.5 d with mean CW's of 75.7 to 108.7 kg. Sort loss was calculated using a market system for a U.S. pork processor (IPC, Delphi, IN). Mean for sort loss ($/pig) values for the pigs in the barn were fitted to a polynomial function of mean CW for each combination of BWEE and PNVE. The increase in mean sort loss for each unit increase in CW above 93 kg increased as BWEE and PNVE increased. Pork production costs were estimated using an industry spreadsheet. A base price of $1.433/kg of CW was used to produce a small profit per pig. Lean premium (LPREM, $/100 kg CW) for gilts was estimated as LPREM = 0.4665 – 0.00198 CW, kg ($R^2 = 0.99$) and for barrows was LPREM = 0.4176 – 0.00216 CW, kg ($R^2 = 0.99$). The optimal CW's to maximize profit/pig and daily returns above daily costs were estimated for each combination of BWEE and PNVE. With accurate sorting, (BWEE = 0, PNVE = 0%) the optimal mean age for the 3 MCUT strategy was 190.5 d (176, 186, and 200 d MCUTs) at a mean CW of 97.0 kg and profit of $3.35/pig. With less accurate sorting (BWEE = 8%, PNVE = 24%), the optimal mean age decreased to 184.5 d with mean CW of 93.4 and profit of $2.00/pig. The optimal market ages and CW's decreased as the accuracy of sorting pigs decreased. The impact of inaccurate sorting of market hogs on the optimal market BW is impacted by several

www.manaraa.com

Reproduced with permission of copyright owner.
Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

www.manaraa.com